

From: [michael freeman](#)
To: [Citizen Inquiries](#); [libraryinfo](#); [felicity@louisvilleco.gov](#); [Planning](#); [Customer Service](#); [Mandy Perera](#); [kbetzold@louisvilleco.gov](#); [Louisville Courts](#); [abrackett@louisvilleco.gov](#); [Reference Desk](#); [Jeff Hirt](#); [Cameron Fowlkes](#); [Iritchie@louisvilleco.gov](#); [ehogan@louisvilleco.gov](#); [Iris Belensky](#); [Tyler Trojan](#); [Rob Zuccaro](#); [Erin Owen](#); [gnettleton@louisvilleco.gov](#); [Louisville SalesTax](#); [PIO](#); [City Council](#); [Christopher Leh](#); [Building Safety](#); [Vanessa Zarate](#); [Clerks Office](#); [Randy Dewitz](#); [Cord Weatherly](#)
Subject: Requesting Assistance from Louisville
Date: Friday, August 7, 2026 5:00:08 PM
Attachments: [Phil Weiser's Holocaust Lies - Reformat.pdf](#)

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] From outside of City of Louisville DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you validate the sender and know the content is safe.

Mayor Leh,

Good afternoon. I was just wondering if anyone could assist me with getting in contact with Holocaust Fraudster Philip Weiser and tell him to make his appearance in Freeman V. Griswold, et al. (1:2026cv02233) in federal court? If he's telling the truth about his mother being born in a concentration camp, then he should make his appearance in the case to prove me wrong.

I've been trying to get him to show up for week to no avail! As you can see his campaign email and his government is copied and he still won't make an appearance in the case or communicate directly with me, even though that is literally his job as attorney general. It just doesn't make any sense. Why is his entire office scared of appearing in court against one tiny little self-represented person? It's just pathetic!

Anyone with your city who can reach out to him and ask him to show up in this case would be appreciated! I've attached an excerpt from my suit against him (reformatted and one redaction), however, if you would like to see the full complaint in higher resolution and unredacted, I've even secured a domain link exclusively to forward people to the full complaint: [ProjectRedBeam.com](#). (the complaint also explains the origin of the domain name). I strongly recommend reviewing it because Phil's Holocaust lies are far from the biggest revelation made in the suit.

If someone could please reach out to him and get this loser to show up up in court, I would greatly appreciate it!

Thanks!

Sincerely,

Michael S. Freeman II
(719) 484-9050
michael.s.freeman2@gmail.com

From: [Michael Verdone](#)
To: [Annmarie Jensen](#)
Cc: [Rachel Zenzinger](#); [Andy Kerr](#); [Lesley Dahlkemper](#); astolzmann@bouldercounty.gov; commissioner.levy@bouldercounty.gov; commissioner.loachamin@bouldercounty.gov; townboard@superiorcolorado.gov; [City Council](#); [City Council](#); council@broomfieldcitycouncil.org; kyle.brown.house@coleg.gov
Subject: Re: --{EXTERNAL}-- Re: RMMA Operations & Jefferson County's Operational Responsibility
Date: Friday, August 7, 2026 11:46:53 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] From outside of City of Louisville DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you validate the sender and know the content is safe.

Regional Leaders and Colleagues,

I am writing to close the loop on this specific thread regarding Rocky Mountain Metropolitan Airport (RMMA) oversight and intergovernmental accountability.

On July 27th, Jefferson County Commissioner Zenzinger publicly committed on this chain to engage and respond upon her return. It has now been over ten days, and no response, operational framework, or policy clarification has been provided.

We now understand internally that Jefferson County leadership has issued a blanket directive advising county officials not to answer constituent or policy inquiries regarding RMMA operations, citing "pending litigation."

While Jefferson County's legal counsel may view complete administrative blackout as a short-term risk management tactic, it places our neighboring communities in an untenable position:

1. **Unilateral Operational Expansion:** Jefferson County continues to sign commercial ground leases, collect airport revenues, and accommodate expanding commercial flight training footprints.
2. **Refusal of Proprietor Oversight:** They simultaneously claim total powerlessness under FAA regulations to manage their commercial real estate leases.
3. **Breakdown of Intergovernmental Dialogue:** They have now closed off basic policy dialogue with the very regional partners whose residents bear 100% of the environmental, noise, and safety impacts of their management decisions.

Intergovernmental relations are built on reciprocity. Jefferson County should not be able to expect seamless regional collaboration on shared infrastructure, planning, and inter-county initiatives while actively weaponizing a legal blackout to inflict unmitigated health and safety impacts on neighboring jurisdictions.

Until Jefferson County demonstrates good-faith engagement—including exercising its explicit authority as an airport proprietor to condition commercial ground leases and establish transparent environmental metrics—neighboring municipalities should re-evaluate all discretionary intergovernmental cooperation with Jefferson County.

If Jefferson County chooses to govern RMMA as an isolated island immune from regional

accountability, our surrounding communities should respond accordingly across all shared policy fronts until meaningful oversight and dialogue are restored.

Thank you for your time, leadership, and continued commitment to our communities on this issue.

Sincerely,

Michael Verdone

Superior, Colorado

On Tue, Aug 4, 2026 at 10:25 AM Michael Verdone <michaelverdone@gmail.com> wrote:
Good morning, Commissioner Zenzinger:

When can I expect a response to my letter regarding Jefferson County's rights and responsibilities as an airport sponsor and proprietor?

The County cannot profit from commercial operations on the ground while disclaiming accountability for the resulting noise, safety, and health impacts in the air. In your reply, please address my specific points rather than hiding behind standard procedural steps like the Part 150 study or FAA Grant Assurance #22—which the County routinely uses to disclaim authority over its own leasing and operations. Administrative processes are meaningless to impacted communities unless tied to objectively measurable reductions in ground-level harm.

Finally, as a former Co-Sponsor of HB24-1235 (Reduce Aviation Impacts on Communities), how do you reconcile that legislative record with your current oversight of an airport driving the exact community impacts that legislation was intended to remedy?

I look forward to your direct response.

Thank you,

Michael Verdone

Superior, Colorado

On Mon, Jul 27, 2026 at 5:35 PM Annmarie Jensen <Annmarie.Jensen@lafayetteco.gov> wrote:

Hi Commissioner. Nice to hear from you. Grateful for your response, and look forward to working towards a solution.

Councilor Annmarie Jensen she/her

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Rachel Zenzinger <rzenzing@co.jefferson.co.us>

Sent: Monday, 27 July 2026 14:15:05

To: Michael Verdone <michaelverdone@gmail.com>

Cc: Andy Kerr <akerr@co.jefferson.co.us>; Lesley Dahlkemper <ldahlkem@co.jefferson.co.us>; astolzmanna@bouldercounty.gov <astolzmanna@bouldercounty.gov>; commissioner.levy@bouldercounty.gov <commissioner.levy@bouldercounty.gov>; commissioner.loachamin@bouldercounty.gov <commissioner.loachamin@bouldercounty.gov>; townboard@superiorcolorado.gov <townboard@superiorcolorado.gov>; City Council <council@lafayetteco.gov>; Council@louisvilleco.gov <Council@louisvilleco.gov>; council@broomfieldcitycouncil.org <council@broomfieldcitycouncil.org>; kyle.brown.house@coleg.gov <kyle.brown.house@coleg.gov>

Subject: Re: --[EXTERNAL]-- Re: RMMA Operations & Jefferson County's Operational Responsibility

Warning: Unusual sender <rzenzing@co.jefferson.co.us>

You don't usually receive emails from this address. Make sure you trust this sender before taking any actions.

Dear Michael,

I am out of town this week, but I wanted to quickly confirm I received your email and will respond when I return.

Kind regards,

Rachel Zenzinger

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 27, 2026, at 1:44 PM, Michael Verdone
<michaelverdone@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Commissioner Zenzinger,

I have yet to receive a response to my email below regarding Jefferson County's direct responsibility as the owner, landlord, and operator of Rocky Mountain Metropolitan Airport.

Deflecting constituent and neighbor concerns by claiming total preemption abdicates Jefferson County's clear rights and responsibilities as a municipal airport sponsor and proprietor. You cannot profit off the business model on

the ground and then disclaim all responsibility for the health, noise, and safety impacts created in the air.

Please confirm receipt of this follow-up and advise when impacted residents and neighboring jurisdictions can expect a formal response outlining how the Board of County Commissioners intends to exercise its proprietary authority at RMMA to reduce the ongoing and extensive negative impacts of your airport's daily operations.

Kindly,

Michael Verdone

Superior, CO

On Thu, Jul 23, 2026 at 4:35 PM Michael Verdone

<michaelverdone@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Commissioner Zenzinger:

I am writing to directly address your recent email exchange with a resident suffering under the operations of Rocky Mountain Metropolitan Airport (pasted below). Specifically, I am writing to call out the fundamental misrepresentations and omissions in your response, a pattern of official deflection that has become standard practice for Jefferson County leadership when my neighbors and I plead for relief from the noise, lead pollution, and safety risks generated by your airport.

You stated that Jefferson County officials are *"not responsible for determining or adjusting the flight path"* and that neither the Board nor county employees have the authority to change them, advising residents to contact the FAA instead. While everyone understands that the FAA governs navigable airspace, invoking federal preemption to claim total helplessness is a complete abdication of Jefferson County's role as the owner and operator of the airport.

The FAA did not create the air traffic density spike at RMMA; **the flight school planes don't just materialize in mid-air. They start on the ground because Jefferson County invited them to be there.** Jefferson County owns the land, leases the hangars, approves the master plans, profits from leaded aviation fuel sales, and contracts with high-volume flight schools. The FAA is forced to clean up your mess once the glut of flight school planes become airborne each day, but claiming that the county is a helpless observer the second an aircraft leaves the tarmac, even though you invited, leased, and incentivized that aircraft to be at RMMA in the first place, is pure gaslighting.

You cannot profit off the business model on the land and then wash your hands of the inevitable public health, noise, and safety impacts created in the air. Jefferson County has direct authority over:

1. **Flight School Leases & Business Contracts:** Establishing operational standards, lease terms, and volume limits for commercial flight training operators on county property. A court may not force you to exercise your power as a proprietor, but basic moral integrity and a commitment to your neighbors should.
2. **Airport Land Use & Growth Strategy:** Aligning RMMA's master plan and noise abatement efforts with actual community capacity rather than unconstrained commercial growth.
3. **AvGas & Environmental Oversight:** Accelerating the transition away from leaded fuel and mitigating toxic exposure for surrounding neighborhoods. Regardless of your public talking points, Jefferson County's effort on leaded fuel is pure theater. When your own RMMA Advisory Board projects that unleaded fuel will cap out at just 20% of total fuel sales, it proves the county isn't serious. If you actually cared about stopping lead exposure in neighboring communities, you would use the airport's profits to aggressively subsidize unleaded fuel until it became the default option for every operator at RMMA.

Telling impacted residents to simply "reach out to the FAA directly" is passing the buck for a noise and lead emissions problem of Jefferson County's own making. I may be alone in this view given your actions, but in Colorado I expect elected leaders to take responsibility for the county assets they manage, rather than attempting to hide behind federal regulations to shield the county's business operations from accountability to constituents and neighbors. The residents of Boulder County, Broomfield County, and Jefferson County deserve so much better.

Kindly,

Michael Verdone

Superior, CO

From: Joe Carroll <joseph.carroll1989@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 7, 2026 9:45 AM

To: Stolzmann, Ashley <astolzmann@bouldercounty.gov>

Cc: Thompson, James (Bennet)

<james_thompson@bennet.senate.gov>; kyle.brown.house@coleg.gov; Mark Lacis <markl@superiorcolorado.gov>

Subject: Re: FW: [EXTERNAL] Flight safety concerns over Superior neighborhoods

Appreciate the follow up again. I also have responses from Rachel Zenzinger, the Jefferson County Commissioner. You can see the response

below which does not address anything. Since the start of the noise study, flights have only increased.

Please talk directly with Jefferson County to address this issue. They truly are doing nothing while they fly over Boulder County, below the minimum altitude and directly over Superior CO and other Boulder County areas. An accident will occur over houses and in my opinion everyone who could have done something to stop this will be accountable. This summer the flights will only increase by 2 to 3 times. Please do what you can to address this issue and change the flight path, limit the flight schools and save our town. This is a safety issue first, then a list of 10 or so other issues next.

Dear Joe,

Thank you for your email and for letting me know about your concerns.

As we have communicated many times, Jefferson County officials are not responsible for determining or adjusting the flight path. The current flight path is set directly by the FAA, and it is not something that the Board of County Commissioners, airport staff, Director Dahl, or any other Jefferson County employee has the authority to change. We have shared your concerns with the FAA, but we have not received any indication that they plan to modify the routes. You may want to consider reaching out to FAA officials directly as well.

Regarding the safety risks you mentioned, my understanding is that those concerns were identified *before* the FAA made recent adjustments to the flight path. The FAA has indicated that these changes were intended to address those very issues, which makes it unlikely that they would revert to the previous routes.

While the county cannot change the flight path itself, we are moving forward on the areas within our control, including the Part 150 Study for the Volunteer Noise Abatement Program and the transition to unleaded fuel. You can find more information about these efforts [HERE \[rmmapart150study.com\]](http://rmmapart150study.com).

Thank you again for reaching out.

Rachel Zenzinger

Jefferson County Commissioner

o 303.271.8511 | c 303.748.0770

rzenzing@jeffco.us | jeffco.us

From: gphil460@comcast.net
To: [City Council](#)
Subject: Juniper Removal?
Date: Thursday, August 6, 2026 2:46:59 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] From outside of City of Louisville DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you validate the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Council Members,

I've recently read that the City of Boulder is launching a pilot project to remove high-risk junipers from city-owned spaces and replace them with native, low-flammability, wildfire-resilient plants. Does the City of Louisville have any plans to remove junipers from open space areas along the Power-Line trail, specifically in the Meadows at Coal Creek neighborhood located between Dillon Rd and Cherry St? Seems it would be prudent to do so in hopes of protecting homes and reducing wildfire hazards in our community.

I look forward to hearing from you or being directed to a resource that addresses my concerns.

Thank you,

Gloria Phillips

460 Orchard Dr.

Louisville CO

From: [Ann](#)
To: [City Council](#); [Christopher Leh](#)
Cc: [Diana Langley](#)
Subject: Tonight's Consent Agenda
Date: Tuesday, August 4, 2026 11:17:39 AM
Attachments: [FSL presentation to LFPD 08_03_26.pdf](#)

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] From outside of City of Louisville DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you validate the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mayor Leh and City Council,

I can't make tonight's meeting, but I hope you'll support the reprogramming of \$100K for the Fire Smart Louisville project in the Consent Agenda. I've spoken with most of of you about the project and what our plans are going forward if funding is available. We really appreciate City Manager Langley's efforts to identify available funding for this effort and Council's support for this new voluntary mitigation program.

And FYI, I presented on Fire Smart Louisville to the LFPD Board last night and asked them to officially sponsor the program along with the City, and provide other technical and financial support going forward. I've attached a PDF of my presentation for your reference.

Thank you in advance for your support!

Ann Brennan
Fire Smart Louisville

From: [Betty Solek](#)
To: [City Council](#)
Subject: Experience of mountain towns managing affordable housing units
Date: Tuesday, August 4, 2026 10:53:48 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] From outside of City of Louisville DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you validate the sender and know the content is safe.

I sent this article earlier this year. Since the Inclusionary Housing Ordinance will be under consideration this month, I wanted to provide the article again.

The reality is that it is challenging to manage the Area Median Income requirements for affordable units.

<https://coloradosun.com/2026/04/19/telluride-rent-regulations-renters-affordability-shandoka-sunnyside-voodoo-apartments/>

From: [Michael Verdone](#)
To: [Annmarie Jensen](#)
Cc: [Rachel Zenzinger](#); [Andy Kerr](#); [Lesley Dahlkemper](#); astolzmnn@bouldercounty.gov; commissioner.levy@bouldercounty.gov; commissioner.loachamin@bouldercounty.gov; townboard@superiorcolorado.gov; [City Council](#); [City Council](#); council@broomfieldcitycouncil.org; kyle.brown.house@coleg.gov
Subject: Re: --{EXTERNAL}-- Re: RMMA Operations & Jefferson County's Operational Responsibility
Date: Tuesday, August 4, 2026 10:25:56 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] From outside of City of Louisville DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you validate the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning, Commissioner Zenzinger:

When can I expect a response to my letter regarding Jefferson County's rights and responsibilities as an airport sponsor and proprietor?

The County cannot profit from commercial operations on the ground while disclaiming accountability for the resulting noise, safety, and health impacts in the air. In your reply, please address my specific points rather than hiding behind standard procedural steps like the Part 150 study or FAA Grant Assurance #22—which the County routinely uses to disclaim authority over its own leasing and operations. Administrative processes are meaningless to impacted communities unless tied to objectively measurable reductions in ground-level harm.

Finally, as a former Co-Sponsor of HB24-1235 (Reduce Aviation Impacts on Communities), how do you reconcile that legislative record with your current oversight of an airport driving the exact community impacts that legislation was intended to remedy?

I look forward to your direct response.

Thank you,

Michael Verdone

Superior, Colorado

On Mon, Jul 27, 2026 at 5:35 PM Annmarie Jensen <Annmarie.Jensen@lafayetteco.gov> wrote:

Hi Commissioner. Nice to hear from you. Grateful for your response, and look forward to working towards a solution.

Councilor Annmarie Jensen she/her

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Rachel Zenzinger <rzenzing@co.jefferson.co.us>

Sent: Monday, 27 July 2026 14:15:05

To: Michael Verdone <michaelverdone@gmail.com>

Cc: Andy Kerr <akerr@co.jefferson.co.us>; Lesley Dahlkemper <ldahlkem@co.jefferson.co.us>; astolzmnn@bouldercounty.gov <astolzmnn@bouldercounty.gov>; commissioner.levy@bouldercounty.gov <commissioner.levy@bouldercounty.gov>; commissioner.loachamin@bouldercounty.gov <commissioner.loachamin@bouldercounty.gov>; townboard@superiorcolorado.gov <townboard@superiorcolorado.gov>; City Council <council@lafayetteco.gov>; Council@louisvilleco.gov <Council@louisvilleco.gov>; council@broomfieldcitycouncil.org <council@broomfieldcitycouncil.org>; kyle.brown.house@coleg.gov <kyle.brown.house@coleg.gov>

Subject: Re: --{EXTERNAL}-- Re: RMMA Operations & Jefferson County's Operational Responsibility

Warning: Unusual sender <rzenzing@co.jefferson.co.us>

You don't usually receive emails from this address. Make sure you trust this sender before taking any actions.

Dear Michael,

I am out of town this week, but I wanted to quickly confirm I received your email and will respond when I return.

Kind regards,

Rachel Zenzinger

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 27, 2026, at 1:44 PM, Michael Verdone <michaelverdone@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Commissioner Zenzinger,

I have yet to receive a response to my email below regarding Jefferson County's direct responsibility as the owner, landlord, and operator of Rocky Mountain Metropolitan Airport.

Deflecting constituent and neighbor concerns by claiming total preemption abdicates Jefferson County's clear rights and responsibilities as a municipal airport sponsor and proprietor. You cannot profit off the business model on the ground and then disclaim all responsibility for the health, noise, and safety impacts created in the air.

Please confirm receipt of this follow-up and advise when impacted residents

and neighboring jurisdictions can expect a formal response outlining how the Board of County Commissioners intends to exercise its proprietary authority at RMMA to reduce the ongoing and extensive negative impacts of your airport's daily operations.

Kindly,

Michael Verdone

Superior, CO

On Thu, Jul 23, 2026 at 4:35 PM Michael Verdone

<michaelverdone@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Commissioner Zenzinger:

I am writing to directly address your recent email exchange with a resident suffering under the operations of Rocky Mountain Metropolitan Airport (pasted below). Specifically, I am writing to call out the fundamental misrepresentations and omissions in your response, a pattern of official deflection that has become standard practice for Jefferson County leadership when my neighbors and I plead for relief from the noise, lead pollution, and safety risks generated by your airport.

You stated that Jefferson County officials are *"not responsible for determining or adjusting the flight path"* and that neither the Board nor county employees have the authority to change them, advising residents to contact the FAA instead. While everyone understands that the FAA governs navigable airspace, invoking federal preemption to claim total helplessness is a complete abdication of Jefferson County's role as the owner and operator of the airport.

The FAA did not create the air traffic density spike at RMMA; **the flight school planes don't just materialize in mid-air. They start on the ground because Jefferson County invited them to be there.** Jefferson County owns the land, leases the hangars, approves the master plans, profits from leaded aviation fuel sales, and contracts with high-volume flight schools. The FAA is forced to clean up your mess once the glut of flight school planes become airborne each day, but claiming that the county is a helpless observer the second an aircraft leaves the tarmac, even though you invited, leased, and incentivized that aircraft to be at RMMA in the first place, is pure gaslighting.

You cannot profit off the business model on the land and then wash your hands of the inevitable public health, noise, and safety impacts created in the air. Jefferson County has direct authority over:

1. **Flight School Leases & Business Contracts:** Establishing operational standards, lease terms, and volume limits for commercial flight training operators on county property. A court may not force you to exercise your power as a proprietor, but basic moral integrity and a commitment to your neighbors should.

2. **Airport Land Use & Growth Strategy:** Aligning RMMA's master plan and noise abatement efforts with actual community capacity rather than unconstrained commercial growth.
3. **AvGas & Environmental Oversight:** Accelerating the transition away from leaded fuel and mitigating toxic exposure for surrounding neighborhoods. Regardless of your public talking points, Jefferson County's effort on leaded fuel is pure theater. When your own RMMA Advisory Board projects that unleaded fuel will cap out at just 20% of total fuel sales, it proves the county isn't serious. If you actually cared about stopping lead exposure in neighboring communities, you would use the airport's profits to aggressively subsidize unleaded fuel until it became the default option for every operator at RMMA.

Telling impacted residents to simply "reach out to the FAA directly" is passing the buck for a noise and lead emissions problem of Jefferson County's own making. I may be alone in this view given your actions, but in Colorado I expect elected leaders to take responsibility for the county assets they manage, rather than attempting to hide behind federal regulations to shield the county's business operations from accountability to constituents and neighbors. The residents of Boulder County, Broomfield County, and Jefferson County deserve so much better.

Kindly,

Michael Verdone

Superior, CO

From: Joe Carroll <joseph.carroll1989@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 7, 2026 9:45 AM

To: Stolzmann, Ashley <astolzmann@bouldercounty.gov>

Cc: Thompson, James (Bennet)

<james_thompson@bennet.senate.gov>; kyle.brown.house@coleg.gov; Mark Lacis
<markl@superiorcolorado.gov>

Subject: Re: FW: [EXTERNAL] Flight safety concerns over Superior neighborhoods

Appreciate the follow up again. I also have responses from Rachel Zenzinger, the Jefferson County Commissioner. You can see the response below which does not address anything. Since the start of the noise study, flights have only increased.

Please talk directly with Jefferson County to address this issue. They truly are doing nothing while they fly over Boulder County, below the minimum

altitude and directly over Superior CO and other Boulder County areas. An accident will occur over houses and in my opinion everyone who could have done something to stop this will be accountable. This summer the flights will only increase by 2 to 3 times. Please do what you can to address this issue and change the flight path, limit the flight schools and save our town. This is a safety issue first, then a list of 10 or so other issues next.

Dear Joe,

Thank you for your email and for letting me know about your concerns.

As we have communicated many times, Jefferson County officials are not responsible for determining or adjusting the flight path. The current flight path is set directly by the FAA, and it is not something that the Board of County Commissioners, airport staff, Director Dahl, or any other Jefferson County employee has the authority to change. We have shared your concerns with the FAA, but we have not received any indication that they plan to modify the routes. You may want to consider reaching out to FAA officials directly as well.

Regarding the safety risks you mentioned, my understanding is that those concerns were identified *before* the FAA made recent adjustments to the flight path. The FAA has indicated that these changes were intended to address those very issues, which makes it unlikely that they would revert to the previous routes.

While the county cannot change the flight path itself, we are moving forward on the areas within our control, including the Part 150 Study for the Volunteer Noise Abatement Program and the transition to unleaded fuel. You can find more information about these efforts [HERE \[rmmapart150study.com\]](http://rmmapart150study.com).

Thank you again for reaching out.

Rachel Zenzinger

Jefferson County Commissioner

o 303.271.8511 | c 303.748.0770

rzenzing@jeffco.us | jeffco.us

From: [Erin Robertson](#)
To: lynn.guissinger@rtd-denver.com; [City Council](#)
Subject: concerned about bike theft/vandalism at McCaslin Park and Ride in Louisville
Date: Tuesday, August 4, 2026 12:31:34 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] From outside of City of Louisville DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you validate the sender and know the content is safe.

I'm not sure what else I can do about this situation besides asking city council and our RTD Director and the police department for ideas. I will send a copy of this email to to Rafael Gutierrez as well.

On July 6, 2025, our 15-year-old son Cedar locked his bike on the Louisville side of the McCaslin Park and Ride, took the bus to the Rockies game, and came back to find his lock had been cut and his bike was gone. We filed a report with the Louisville Police Department. It seemed that since there were cameras in the area it might be possible to identify a suspect. Cedar also applied for a key card to be able to lock his bike in the bike shed at the Park and Ride. The investigation didn't go anywhere, and Cedar has been locking his bike in the shed. Tonight he and his friend came back from another Rockies game to find both of their bikes damaged within the shed. Cedar's bike chain and some of his eBike wiring had been cut. His friend's eBike also had wiring cut, and the screen was stolen. Both bikes remained locked in the shed.

We filed another police report, with the same responding officer from last year.

Cedar contributed about half the cost toward this replacement bike that has now been damaged, money he earned from his dogwalking job. It's hard to answer his questions about what else he's supposed to do to try to protect his property. I asked the responding officer if there are a lot of vehicle breakins at this location and he said no, it seems to just be confined to bikes. Since the bikes were damaged within the shed, we thought that there might be a record of who swiped their key card then, but the officer explained that's not the case, they just unlock the shed without documenting who used it.

Cedar just turned 16, and while he has a driver's license he does not own a car. There are 4 drivers in our family and 2 vehicles. It seems like the main suggestion is to not leave bikes at the Park and Ride. That feels disappointing since RTD has invested in the shed, the key card system, and a camera that appears to look directly into the shed. We live near the DASH line, but the 228 along McCaslin stops running by 9pm. Aside from biking to McCaslin, it seems his main option might be to take the DASH into Boulder and get the FF1 at Table Mesa, if he doesn't want his bike to be stolen or damaged again. Or we drop him off and pick him up.

I understand this may seem trivial to you, given the other serious concerns you deal with. But it's hard to just tell my kid "tough break" when the vehicle he DOES own, that gives him autonomy, has now been taken or damaged twice in the last 13 months at the same location. Thanks for any advice about how he should get to the next Rockies game.

Thank you for your service to our community,
Erin Robertson

1024 Grant Avenue
Louisville, CO 80027
303.229.2014
erinelainerobertson@gmail.com

--

[Listen](#) to CMarie Fuhrman read "A [poem](#) to acknowledge that the land itself — along with the people whose language, culture and religion were born of it — is rarely acknowledged."

From: [MICHAEL MALEC](#)
To: [City Council](#)
Subject: Marshall Fire Building Permit Fee refund
Date: Monday, August 3, 2026 12:53:27 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] From outside of City of Louisville DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you validate the sender and know the content is safe.

We've been told multiple times that the letters with the forms to apply for the City of Louisville building permit fee refund would be coming soon. It's now August and still no forms. I don't know of any neighbors that have received them either. Another deadline given out by the City has passed. When will this become a priority?

Mike Malec

805 W Mulberry Street